



**Brighton & Hove
City Council**

PLANNING COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

2.00PM, WEDNESDAY, 7 AUGUST 2013

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

ADDENDUM

ITEM LATE REPRESENTATIONS LIST

Page
1 - 4

7th August 2013 Planning Committee – Additional Representations

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment
17	<p>Veolia Environmental Services South Down Ltd, Hollingdean Lane, Brighton</p>	<p>BH2013/02219</p>	<p>Forty-five (45) letters of representation have been received from 15, 21 Rugby Road, 155 Ditchling Rise, 8 The Laurels Bromley Road, 5, 37, 40a, 55, 66, 80, 108 Richmond Road, 11 Wigmore Close, 305 Bear Road, 25, 45, 50, 54, 55, 57, 74 Princes Road, 11 Roundhill Street, 4, 147 Dudeney Lodge, Upper Hollingdean Road, 48 Southampton Street, 20 Belton Road, Flat 3 2, 21, 63 Florence Road, 63 Princes Crescent, 113 Waldegrave Road, Flat 6 Ditchling Court, 136A Ditchling Road, 94 Hollingdean Terrace, 47 Roundhill Crescent, 2, 6, 157 Hollingbury Road, 32 Edburton Avenue, 25 Southdown Avenue, 33a Roedale Road, 8 Daubigny Road, 30 Lauriston Road, Nettleton & Dudeney Residents Association, 2 Undisclosed Addressees, <u>objecting</u> to the application on the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased noise resulting from vehicles at the site in the early morning • It is not fair to disturb residents 7 days a week • It is in breach of the original intentions for the site • There will be increased odour from the site • Additional waste will be brought from other areas • Increased traffic on the local roads • This use is not suited to a residential area • Increased pollution • This will have a detrimental impact upon the quality of life of local residents • Increased air pollution • The applicants have a poor track record • The timing of the application during school holidays is questionable • This is solely for the benefit of the private contractor <p>Officer response: No new material planning considerations raised.</p> <p>Environment Agency: No objections to the variation of planning permission. However, Veolia needs to ensure continued compliance with its environmental permit. Veolia needs to take all measures to ensure that the variation in opening hours will not negatively impact on the amenity of the local community.</p> <p>Cllr Lepper has <u>objected</u> to the scheme, a copy of her letter is attached.</p>

79	Land to Rear of 141 Stanmer Park Road	BH2013/02419	A new application for the site has been received, reference BH2013/02419. The application is to extend the time limit for implementation of previous approval BH2009/03177 for the erection of a 2 storey residential dwelling to the rear of 141.
----	--	--------------	--

NB. Representations received after midday the Friday before the date of the Committee meeting will not be reported (Sub-Committee resolution of 23 February 2005).

For the attention of Anthony Foster

Development Control
Hove Town Hall
Norton Road
Hove BN3 3BQ

29th July 2013

Planning Application: BH2013/02219 Veolia Environmental Services:
Application for variation of conditions 3 and 4 – opening hours, and removal of
condition 21 of BH2011/03179- operational changes.

As the Hollingdean and Stanmer Councillor I am writing to object to the above
planning application. I would like to speak to my letter of objection when this
application is discussed by the Planning Committee.

When planning consent was granted for the MRF and WTS in Hollingdean in
2006 the operating hours did not include weekend and bank holiday working or
during the evenings on week days. This was to protect the amenity of the
occupiers of nearby residential properties and the locality in general.

These restrictions acknowledged the concerns of local residents about
disturbances caused by the site itself and heavy vehicles movements in the
surrounding residential streets. Since the site opened I have received complaints
from local residents despite these restrictions.

This application seeks to extend the opening and operating hours and this will
inevitably lead to an unacceptable increase in noise and disturbance from the
facility as well as increased vehicle movements on weekends and bank holidays
when Hollingdean residents should be able to expect some respite.

I therefore request that the Planning Applications Sub Committee reject this
application.

Yours sincerely

Jeane Lepper

Councillor, Hollingdean and Stanmer.

